

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Tetrahedron Letters

Tetrahedron Letters 48 (2007) 3999–4002

## Competing reaction pathways from  $\alpha$ -halo- $\alpha$ -protioalkyl aryl sulfoxides initiated by organometallic reagents

Paul R. Blakemore,\* Matthew S. Burge and Mark A. Sephton

Department of Chemistry, Oregon State University, Corvallis, OR 97331-4003, USA

Received 16 October 2006; revised 30 March 2007; accepted 9 April 2007 Available online 14 April 2007

Abstract—The reactions of syn-1-haloethyl p-chlorophenyl sulfoxides (halogen = Cl, Br) with main-group organometallic reagents (n-BuMgCl, MeLi, n-BuLi, s-BuLi, and t-BuLi) in THF and PhMe solvents were examined. Product distributions were analyzed to determine the extent of competing sulfoxide ligand exchange, halogen–metal exchange, and deprotonation reaction pathways. A combination of  $t$ -BuLi in PhMe was optimal for initiation of sulfoxide ligand exchange from syn-1-chloroethyl p-chlorophenyl sulfoxide.

 $© 2007$  Published by Elsevier Ltd.

The sulfoxide ligand exchange reaction, wherein a carbanionic nucleofuge 4 is substituted for an incoming carbanionic nucleophile 2 with inversion of configuration about a tricoordinate S(IV) center (i.e.,  $1\rightarrow 3$ ), is a powerful, yet poorly understood process (Scheme  $1$ ).<sup>[1,2](#page-2-0)</sup> Traditionally, this transformation has been employed as a means to synthesize sulfoxides  $(3)$ ;<sup>1f,g,3</sup> however, attention is now increasingly being directed to the organometallic 'by-product' of the exchange reaction ([4](#page-2-0)). A growing body of work by Satoh,<sup>4</sup> and others,<sup>[5](#page-2-0)</sup> demonstrates that sulfoxide ligand exchange may rival better known halogen–metal exchange and transmetalation phenomena as a means to prepare a wide variety of exotic organometallic species. In particular, as first discovered by Durst et al., <sup>1g</sup> and as later re-investigated by Satoh and Takano,<sup>[6](#page-3-0)</sup> ligand exchange reactions of a-haloalkyl aryl sulfoxides with Grignard or alkyllithium reagents proceed rapidly at low temperature and provide for a superior synthesis of  $\alpha$ -haloalkylmetals (i.e., carbenoids). Of great significance, Hoffmann



Scheme 1. The sulfoxide ligand exchange reaction.

0040-4039/\$ - see front matter © 2007 Published by Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.tetlet.2007.04.031

et al. recently reported that a configurationally stable Mg-carbenoid could be accessed in highly enantioenriched form by treatment of a homochiral  $syn-\alpha$ chloro- $\alpha$ -protioalkyl aryl sulfoxide with EtMgCl.<sup>[7](#page-3-0)</sup> Work from our laboratory since established that a putative enantioenriched Li-carbenoid is likewise available by an analogous method initiated by  $n$ -BuLi.<sup>[8](#page-3-0)</sup>

Three distinct direct pathways may be anticipated upon the reaction of a  $\alpha$ -halo- $\alpha$ -protioalkyl aryl sulfoxide (5) with a nucleophilic organometallic reagent (6), namely: (1) sulfoxide ligand exchange, (2) halogen–metal exchange, and (3) deprotonation (Scheme 2). The



Scheme 2. Competing direct reaction processes from  $\alpha$ -halo- $\alpha$ -protioalkyl aryl sulfoxides initiated by organometallic reagents.

Keywords: Sulfoxide ligand exchange; Carbenoids; Halogen–metal exchange.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 541 737 6728; fax: +1 541 737 2062; e-mail: [paul.blakemore@science.oregonstate.edu](mailto:paul.blakemore@science.oregonstate.edu)

literature reports of Satoh et al. indicate that pathway (1) is almost exclusively preferred from  $\alpha$ -protiosulfoxides 5 with 6 ( $M = MgCl$ );<sup>[4](#page-2-0)</sup> however, the corresponding reactions of  $\alpha$ -protiosulfoxides 5 with 6 (M = Li) have been little studied.<sup>[9](#page-3-0)</sup> Accordingly, wishing to optimize our earlier synthesis of enantioenriched Li-carbenoids from  $\alpha$ -halo- $\alpha$ -protiosulfoxides,<sup>8</sup> we elected to study in detail the competing reaction pathways available to sulfoxides 5 in the presence of common organolithium reagents. Herein, we report the results of this investigation.

Suitable  $\alpha$ -halo- $\alpha$ -protioalkyl sulfoxide substrates, syn a-chloro- and a-bromoethyl p-chlorophenyl sulfoxides



Scheme 3. Synthesis of racemic syn- $\alpha$ -haloethyl p-chlorophenyl sulfoxides 15.

(15,  $X = Cl$ , Br), were prepared as illustrated by the respective treatment of ethyl sulfoxide 14 with either  $N$ -chloro- or  $N$ -bromosuccinimide in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (Scheme 3).[10](#page-3-0) Sulfoxide 14 was itself derived by a straightforward alkylation/oxidation sequence from thiophenol 13<br> $[Mo<sup>(VI)</sup> cat. = ammonium molybdate hydrate,$  $cat = \text{ammonium}$  molybdate hydrate,  $(NH_4)$ <sub>6</sub>Mo<sub>6</sub>O<sub>24</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O].<sup>[11](#page-3-0)</sup>

With sulfoxides 15 in hand, their reactions with a variety of common organometallic reagents were explored (Table  $1$ ).<sup>[12](#page-3-0)</sup> In all experiments, the sulfoxide was treated with 1 equiv of the reagent of interest at  $-78$  °C and the reaction mixture quenched after 10 min with a suitable electrophilic deuterium source. To ascertain the extent to which competing pathways  $(1)$ – $(3)$  were followed, product distributions were analyzed for recovered or epimerized starting material  $(15 + anti-15)$  and the expected products from both sulfoxide ligand ex-change (16) and halogen–metal exchange (14).<sup>[13](#page-3-0)</sup> At the outset, to gauge the likely outcome of a simple deprotonation/reprotonation pathway, 15  $(X = Cl)$  was exposed to freshly prepared LDA (1 equiv) in THF and quenched 10 min later with  $CD<sub>3</sub>OD$  (entry 1). Sulfoxide 15 (X = Cl) and its epimer *anti*-15 (X = Cl) were isolated in 84% combined yield with dr  $(syn/anti) = 1:2$ . Both compounds exhibited a low level of deuterium incorporation (ca. 30%), the likely consequence of internal proton return (IPR) from an intermediate complex of the lithiated sulfoxide and diisopropylamine, upon quench.[14](#page-3-0) Throughout the subsequent phase of the

		$R-M$ (1 eq)	TIO TAIT/ GEPTOLOHALIOH sun.ng.cx. IIGI.IIICLCA.				
		$-78$ °C, 10 min					
	Ar <sup>2</sup>	then, $CD_3CO_2D$	$Ar^{-5}$	Ar <sup>2</sup>	`R Ar Ari		
	15	$Ar = p-CIC_6H_4$	15	$anti-15$	16	14	
Entry	X	$R-M$	Solvent		Product distribution: %yield <sup>a</sup> (%D) <sup>b</sup>		
				15	$anti-15$	16	14
1 <sup>c</sup>	Cl	$i$ -Pr <sub>2</sub> N–Li	<b>THF</b>	28 (32)	56 (34)	$\theta$	0
2	Cl	$n-Bu-MgCl$	<b>THF</b>			67	$\Omega$
$3^{\circ}$	Cl	$n$ -Bu-Li	<b>THF</b>	23(22)	32(48)	37	$\Omega$
	Cl	s-BuLi	<b>THF</b>	17(35)	45(62)	$<$ 5	
	Cl	$t$ -Bu-Li	<b>THF</b>	24(17)	45 (38)	6	
	Cl	Me-Li	<b>THF</b>	18 (90)	40 (92)	29	
	Cl	$n$ -Bu-MgCl	PhMe	$38 \; (\leq)$	$\Omega$	27	$^{\prime}$
$8^{\rm c}$	Cl	$n$ -Bu-Li	PhMe	0	$\Omega$	Trace <sup>d</sup>	$\Omega$
9 <sup>c</sup>	Cl	s-BuLi	PhMe	31(18)	23(50)	$\leq 40^\circ$	
10	Cl	$t$ -Bu-Li	PhMe	13(15)	12(67)	50	$^{(1)}$
11	Cl	Me-Li	PhMe	0	$\mathbf{0}$	28	
12	Br	$n-Bu-MgCl$	<b>THF</b>	14 $(< 5)$	$<$ 1	61	0
13	Br	$n$ -Bu-Li	<b>THF</b>	22(20)	19(20)		13
14 <sup>c</sup>	Br	$n-Bu-MgCl$	PhMe	47 $(\leq 5)$	$<$ 1	35	$\theta$
15	Br	$n$ -Bu-Li	PhMe	6	3	14	17

Table 1. Determination of product distributions from  $\alpha$ -halo- $\alpha$ -protioalkyl aryl sulfoxides 15 (X = Cl, Br) following their treatment with organometallic reagents

no ryn / deprotonation sulf.lig.ox. hal.met.ex.

<sup>a</sup> Isolated yields; syn and anti sulfoxides not generally separated, ratio determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis. <sup>a</sup> Isolated yields; *syn* and *anti* sulfoxides not generally separated, ratio determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis.<br><sup>b</sup> Where given, %D incorporation determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis; sulfoxides 14 and 16 were undeuter

<sup>b</sup> Where given, %D incorporation determined by <sup>1</sup>H NMR analysis; sulfoxides 14 and 16 were undeuterated in all cases. C Quenched with CD<sub>3</sub>OD. d Complex mixture obtained, only discernable product was dibutylsulfoxide.

<sup>d</sup> Complex mixture obtained, only discernable product was dibutylsulfoxide.<br>
<sup>e</sup> Exchange product obtained as a mixture of diastereoisomers (dr  $\sim$  1:1) associated with minor unidentified components.

<span id="page-2-0"></span>study, low levels of deuterium incorporation were routinely encountered in samples of 15 and anti-15 recovered after deuterolysis of reaction mixtures where alkylmetal initiated deprotonation of the sulfoxide had undoubtedly occurred. These low %D figures cannot be explained by IPR and indicate the likely operation of as yet unidentified proton transfer pathways during the reaction (n.b. deuterium incorporation into 14 or 16 was not found).<sup>[15](#page-3-0)</sup>

The reactions of  $\alpha$ -chlorosulfoxide 15 (X = Cl) with alkylmetals were examined in both THF and PhMe sol-vents (entries 2-11).<sup>[16](#page-3-0)</sup> As expected, treatment of 15  $(X = Cl)$  with *n*-BuMgCl in THF gave almost exclusively the product of sulfoxide ligand exchange (entry 2). A comparable reaction conducted in PhMe proceeded less rapidly and afforded only 27% of 16  $(R = n-Bu)$ , together with recovered starting material in 38% yield (entry 7). In this case, the low level of deuteration of the recovered starting material, taken together with the lack of production of *anti*-15 (X = Cl), suggested that deprotonation of 15 (X = Cl) with the Grignard reagent was not a significant competing pathway. Reactions of 15  $(X = Cl)$  with alkyllithium reagents were more complex and typically gave a poor mass balance of products from the three competing processes of interest. Deprotonation was the major identifiable pathway for all such reactions when conducted in THF solvent (entries 3–6). In PhMe solvent, significant differences in reactivity were noted between the four alkyllithiums examined (entries 8–11). For example, treatment of 15 (X = Cl) with *n*-BuLi in PhMe gave an intractable mixture, the  ${}^{1}H$  NMR spectral signature of which clearly indicated the formation of a modest quantity of dibutylsulfoxide (entry 8). By contrast, exposure of 15 (X = Cl) to s-BuLi resulted in a significant level of deprotonation (entry 9), while an otherwise identical reaction promoted by t-BuLi was observed to prefer a sulfoxide ligand exchange pathway (entry 10). Significantly, in changing from the relatively polar THF solvent to less polar PhMe solvent, the reactivity profile for t-BuLi reverses from favoring deprotonation to favoring sulfoxide ligand exchange (cf. entries 5 and 10). As may be expected, halogen–metal exchange was not an observed reaction pathway for any of the experiments performed with  $\alpha$ -chlorosulfoxide 15 (X = Cl).

The reactions of  $\alpha$ -bromosulfoxide 15 (X = Br) were next investigated in a more limited fashion (entries 12– 15). Again, n-BuMgCl induced efficient sulfoxide ligand exchange in THF and the same reaction when conducted in PhMe took a similar course but was evidentally slower (cf. entries 12 and 14). Treatment of 15  $(X = Br)$  with *n*-BuLi in either THF or PhMe resulted in identifiable products from all three direct reaction pathways (entries 13 and 15). It is noteworthy that the level of bromine–lithium exchange in these reactions was more pronounced than sulfoxide ligand exchange.

In conclusion, the above results have established that the interactions of alkyllithium reagents with  $\alpha$ -halo- $\alpha$ protioalkyl aryl sulfoxides are indeed complex, but that some degree of control can be obtained by a judicious selection of reaction variables. Pertinent to the motivation behind this survey, it was discovered that a combination of t-BuLi with PhMe solvent is optimal for initiation of sulfoxide ligand exchange from sulfoxides 5 ( $X = Cl$ ). By implication, these reaction conditions may be considered as optimal for the generation of Licarbenoids from a-chloro-a-protioalkyl aryl sulfoxides.[17](#page-3-0) Finally, this work stands to further exemplify the remarkably chemoselective nature of the sulfoxide ligand exchange reaction between a-haloalkyl sulfoxides and alkyl Grignard reagents.

## Acknowledgements

Oregon State University is gratefully acknowledged for the financial support of this work. Ms. Selena D. Milicevic is thanked for assistance in compiling the bibliography.

## References and notes

- 1. Reports of sulfoxide ligand exchange reactions have appeared sporadically since 1929. For selected pivotal work, see: (a) Fuchs, K. Monatsh. Chem. 1929, 53–54, 438–444; (b) Gilman, H.; Eidt, S. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1956, 78, 3848–3850; (c) Jacobus, J.; Mislow, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1967, 89, 5228–5234; (d) Andersen, K. K.; Yeager, S. A.; Peynircioglu, N. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 2485–2488; (e) Tsuchihashi, G.-i.; Iriuchijima, S.; Ishibashi, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1972, 4605–4608; (f) Lockard, J. P.; Schroeck, C. W.; Johnson, C. R. Synthesis 1973, 485–486; (g) Durst, T.; LeBelle, M. J.; Van Den Elzen, R.; Tin, K.-C. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 761-766; (h) Guetté, E.; Bibang Bi Ekogha, C.; Julia, S. A. Bull. Chim. Soc. Fr. 1986, 325–331; (i) Zhao, S. H.; Samuel, O.; Kagan, H. B. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 5135–5144; (j) Furukawa, N.; Ogawa, S.; Matsumura, K.; Fujihara, H. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 6341–6348.
- 2. For illuminating discourse on possible pathways for nucleophilic substitution at tricoordinate sulfur, see Ref. 1g and (a) Tillett, J. G. Chem. Rev. 1976, 76, 747–772; (b) Mislow, K. Acc. Chem. Res. 1970, 3, 321–331.
- 3. (a) Cardellicchio, C.; Naso, F.; Capozzi, M. A. M. Phosphorus, Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem. 2005, 180, 1161– 1170; (b) Cappozzi, M. A. M.; Cardellicchio, C.; Naso, F. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 1855–1963.
- 4. The work of Satoh and co-workers is predominantly directed toward the synthesis of a-haloalkylmagnesium and oxiranyl anion species by sulfoxide ligand exchange reactions. For representative recent work with leading references, see: (a) Satoh, T.; Ogata, S.; Wakasugi, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 7249–7253; (b) Satoh, T.; Tanaka, S.; Asakawa, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 6769–6773; (c) Satoh, T.; Osawa, A.; Ohbayashi, T.; Kondo, A. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 7892–7901; (d) Satoh, T.; Miura, M.; Sakai, K.; Yokoyama, Y. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 4253–4261; (e) Satoh, T.; Kobayashi, S.; Nakanishi, S.; Horiguchi, K.; Irisa, S. Tetrahedron 1999, 55, 2515–2528; (f) Satoh, T.; Kuramochi, Y.; Inoue, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 8815–8818.
- 5. Recent applications of sulfoxide ligand exchange reactions in the generation of arylmetals: (a) Clayden, J.; Kubinski, P. M.; Sammiceli, F.; Helliwell, M.; Diorazio, L. Tetrahedron **2004**, 60, 4387–4397; (b) Clayden, J.; Stimson, C. C.; Keenan, M. Synlett 2005, 1716–1720; (c) Hitchcock, P.

<span id="page-3-0"></span>B.; Rowlands, G. J.; Parmar, R. Chem. Commun. 2005, 4219–4221; Vinylmetals: (d) Sklute, G.; Marek, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4642–4649, and references cited therein; Metalated enol ethers: (e) Milne, J. E.; Kocienski, P. J. Synthesis 2003, 584–592; Ferrocenylmetals: (f) Riant, O.; Argouarch, G.; Guillaneux, D.; Samuel, O.; Kagan, H. B. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 3511–3514; (g) Albrow, V. E.; Blake, A. J.; Fryatt, R.; Wilson, C.; Woodward, S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 2549–2557; (h) Kloetzing, R. J.; Knochel, P. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, 17, 116–123; Cyclopropylmetals: (i) Kopp, F.; Sklute, G.; Polburn, K.; Marek, I.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3789–3791.

- 6. Satoh, T.; Takano, K. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 2349–2358.
- 7. Hoffmann, R. W.; Nell, P. G.; Leo, R.; Harms, K. Chem. Eur. J. 2000, 6, 3359–3365.
- 8. Blakemore, P. R.; Marsden, S. P.; Vater, H. D. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 773–776.
- 9. Satoh and Takano reported the generation of complex product mixtures from the reaction of an a-chloro-aprotioalkyl tolyl sulfoxide and t-BuLi in THF (Ref. 6). Durst et al. reported reactions of 1-chloroethyl phenyl sulfoxide with  $n$ -BuLi,  $t$ -BuLi, and MeLi (in THF) and obtained sulfoxide exchange products in yields of 22%, 9%, and 36%, respectively (Ref. 1g).
- 10. For a-halogenation of sulfoxides with N-halosuccinimides, see: (a) Jung, F.; Tin, K. C.; Durst, T. Int. J. Sulfur Chem. 1973, 8, 1-4; (b) Drabowicz, J. Synthesis 1986, 831–833; (c) Satoh, T.; Oohara, T.; Ueda, Y.; Yamakawa, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 313–316.
- 11. Data for 14: colorless oil; IR (neat) 2978, 1475, 1391, 1051, 825 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.51 (2H, d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz), 7.45 (2H, d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz), 2.86 (1H, dq,  $J = 13.2, 7.5$  Hz), 2.70 (1H, dq,  $J = 13.2, 7.5$  Hz), 1.15 (3H, t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 141.8 (0), 137.0 (0), 129.4 (2C, 1), 125.6 (2C, 1), 50.2 (2), 5.8 (3) ppm. Data for 15 (X = Cl): colorless solid; mp 52– 54 °C (EtOAc/hexanes); IR (neat) 2980, 1475, 1082, 1058, 1012, 823, 742 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.63  $(2H, d, J = 8.7 \text{ Hz})$ , 7.52 (2H, d,  $J = 8.7 \text{ Hz}$ ), 4.71 (1H, q,  $J = 6.6$  Hz), 1.61 (3H, d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$  138.4 (0), 137.0 (0), 129.2 (2C, 1), 127.3 (2C, 1), 70.3 (1), 17.0 (3) ppm. Data for  $15 (X = Br)$ : oily solid; IR (neat) 2953, 1475, 1391, 1082, 1054, 822,  $742 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.64 (2H, d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz), 7.51 (2H, d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz), 4.74 (1H, q,  $J = 6.6$  Hz), 1.80 (3H, d,  $J = 6.6$  Hz) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(75 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$   $\delta$  138.6 (0), 137.7 (0), 129.3 (2C, 1), 127.5 (2C, 1), 61.7 (1), 18.0 (3) ppm. All data in agreement with those previously reported, see: **14** (a) Shukla, V. G.; Salgaonkar, P. D.; Akamanchi, K. G. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 5422–5425. **15** (X = Cl and Br) (b) Cinquini, M.; Colonna, S.; Landini, D.; Maia, A. M. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 1976, 996–1000.
- 12. Solutions (0.20 M) of 15 in the indicated solvent were used throughout. Alkylmetal reagents were dispensed as the following standardized solutions:  $n-BuMgCl$  (2.4 M in THF), n-BuLi (2.1 M in hexanes), s-BuLi (1.4 M in cyclohexane), t-BuLi (1.5 M in pentane), MeLi (1.6 M in  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$ ).
- 13. Data for *anti*-15 (X = Cl): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.68 (2H, d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz), 7.52 (2H, d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz), 4.48

(1H, q,  $J = 6.7$  Hz), 1.78 (1H, d,  $J = 6.7$  Hz) ppm. Data for *anti*-15 (X = Br): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.68  $(2H, d, J = 8.6 \text{ Hz})$ , 7.48 (2H, d,  $J = 8.7 \text{ Hz}$ ), 4.57 (1H, q,  $J = 6.8$  Hz), 1.91 (3H, d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz) ppm. Data for 16  $(R = n-Bu)$ : colorless oil; IR (neat) 2959, 1475, 1081, 1040, 1011, 825, 741 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.53  $(2H, d, J = 8.7 \text{ Hz})$ , 7.46  $(2H, d, J = 8.7 \text{ Hz})$ , 2.74  $(2H, t, J)$  $J = 7.2$  Hz), 1.75–1.63 (1H, m), 1.60–1.50 (1H, m), 1.48– 1.32 (2H, m), 0.88 (3H, t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  142.7 (0), 137.3 (0), 129.7 (2C, 1), 125.6 (2C, 1), 57.3 (2), 24.2 (2), 22.1 (2), 13.8 (3) ppm. Data for 16 ( $R = s$ -Bu, less polar isomer): colorless oil; IR  $(n$ eat) 2924, 1459, 1040, 827 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.50–7.48 (4H, m), 2.51 (1H, sextet,  $J = 7.0$  Hz), 1.94 (1H, d of quintet,  $J = 13.6$ , 7.2 Hz), 1.47 (1H, d of quintet,  $J = 14.0$ , 7.0 Hz), 1.09 (3H, t,  $J = 7.2$  Hz), 1.04 (3H, d,  $J = 6.8$  Hz) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 140.8 (0), 137.1 (0), 129.4 (2C, 1), 126.3 (2C, 1), 61.5 (1), 24.0 (2), 11.8 (3), 10.3 (3) ppm; HRMS (EI+)  $m/z$ <br>217.04536 (C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>14</sub><sup>35</sup>ClOS requires 217.04539). Data for **16** ( $R = t$ -Bu): colorless oil; IR (neat) 2962, 1475, 1169, 1045, 1011, 825, 742 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.53 (2H, d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz), 7.46 (2H, d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz), 1.16 (9 H, s) ppm;  $^{13}$ C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  138.8 (0), 137.6 (0), 128.7 (2C, 1), 127.6 (2C, 1), 56.1 (0), 22.7 (3C, 3) ppm. Data for 16 ( $R = Me$ ): colorless oil; IR (neat) 2970, 1476, 1090, 1052, 1011, 822, 741 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(300 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDC1}_3)$   $\delta$  7.59 (2H, d,  $J = 8.7 \text{ Hz}$ ), 7.51 (2H, d,  $J = 8.7$  Hz), 2.71 (3H, s) ppm; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl3) d 144.5 (0), 137.4 (0), 129.8 (2C, 1), 125.1 (2C, 1), 44.3 (3) ppm. Data in agreement with those previously reported, see: 16  $(R = n-Bu)$  (a) Xia, M.; Chen, Z.-C. Synth. Commun. 1997, 27, 1315–1320. 16  $(R = t-Bu)$  (b) Shelton, J. R.; Davis, K. E. Int. J. Sulfur Chem. 1973, 3, 197–204. 16  $(R = Me)$  (c) Buchanan, G. W.; Reyes-Zamora, C.; Clarke, D. E. Can. J. Chem. 1974, 52, 3895–3904.

- 14. (a) Creger, P. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 1396–1397; (b) Seebach, D.; Boes, M.; Naef, R.; Schweizer, W. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 5390–5398; (c) Vedejs, E.; Lee, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 891–900.
- 15. The possibility that anti-15 may arise from 15 via a base catalyzed process or via a halide anion mediated substitution ('ping-pong') mechanism was suggested by a reviewer. Additional experiments have established that neither pathway is a significant cause of epimerization for 15 (X = Cl). Thus, exposure of 15 (X = Cl) to excess LiCl or LiBr in THF at temperatures ranging from  $-78$  °C to 65 C resulted in no observed reaction. Likewise, reaction of 15 (X = Cl) with substoichiometric quantities  $(0.1-$ 0.25 equiv) of either LDA or  $t$ -BuLi did not in any experiment result in the production of *anti*-15 in a quantity greater than the amount of added base.
- 16. Experiments in pentane and  $Et<sub>2</sub>O$  were also attempted but gave irreproducible results owing to the poor solubility of sulfoxide 15 (X = Cl) in these solvents at  $-78$  °C.
- 17. Use of t-BuLi with PhMe solvent has now been verified as optimal for the generation of enantioenriched Li-carbenoids for use in stereospecific reagent controlled homologation (StReCH) reactions, see: Blakemore, P. R.; Burge, M. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 3068–3069.